Detail of Clean Fleets North Texas 2015 Call For Projects Funding Recommendation

|  | Applicant | Activity <br> Number | Vehicle Type | Activity Type | Old Vehicle Engine Year | Old Vehicle Mileage | Vehicle Description | New Vehicle Fuel | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Annual Mileage } \\ \text { Commitment } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \begin{array}{l} \text { Incremental } \\ \text { Project Cost } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Grant Amount Requested | Grant Share Requested | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}} \text { (tons) } \\ \text { Reduced } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Cost per Ton of $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduced (Over Project Life) | Cost per Ton Difference | Recommended Grant Award |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Activities Recommended for Award |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Staff Funding Recommendation |  |  |
|  | Campbell Kings, Inc. | 1 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1990 | 334,536 | Delivery Van | CNG | 50,000 | \$84,230 | \$63,172 | 75.0\% | 2.97 | \$21,263 |  | \$63,172 |
| 2 | Dallas ISD | 4 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 163,201 | Bobtail Box Truck | Diesel | 68,005 | \$68,962 | \$55,170 | 80.0\% | 2.61 | \$21,335 | \$72 | \$55,170 |
| 3 | Dallas ISD | 2 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 148,182 | Bobtail Box Truck | Diesel | 61,743 | \$68,962 | \$55,170 | 80.0\% | 2.37 | \$23,499 | \$2,165 | \$55,170 |
| 4 | Campbell Kings, Inc. | 3 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1995 | 555,379 | Delivery Van | CNG | 50,000 | \$84,230 | \$63,172 | 75.0\% | 2.43 | \$25,965 | \$2,465 | \$63,172 |
| 5 | Dallas ISD | 6 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 125,090 | Bobtail Box Truck | Diesel | 52,121 | \$68,962 | \$55,170 | 80.0\% | 2.00 | \$27,836 | \$1,871 | \$55,170 |
| 6 | Morgan Express Deliveries, Inc. | 1 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1997 | 325,831 | Delivery Van | CNG | 50,000 | \$74,973 | \$56,230 | 75.0\% | 1.80 | \$31,187 | \$3,351 | \$56,230 |
| 7 | Dallas ISD | 3 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 110,440 | Bobtail Box Truck | Diesel | 46,017 | \$68,962 | \$55,170 | 80.0\% | 1.76 | \$31,530 | \$344 | \$55,170 |
| 8 | Dallas ISD | 5 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 109,067 | Bobtail Box Truck | Diesel | 45,445 | \$68,962 | \$55,170 | 80.0\% | 1.74 | \$31,929 | \$398 | \$55,170 |
| 9 | Dallas ISD | 8 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 106,456 | Bobtail Box Truck | Diesel | 44,357 | \$68,962 | \$55,170 | 80.0\% | 1.70 | \$32,708 | \$780 | \$55,170 |
| 10 | Dream Team Deliveries, Inc. | 1 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1996 | 427,164 | Van | CNG | 60,000 | \$80,993 | \$64,730 | 79.9\% | 1.97 | \$32,908 | \$200 | \$64,730 |
| 11 | Dream Team Deliveries, Inc. | 2 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1996 | 433,328 | Van | CNG | 60,000 | \$80,993 | \$64,730 | 79.9\% | 1.97 | \$32,908 | \$0 | \$64,730 |
| 12 | Dream Team Deliveries, Inc. | 3 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1996 | 311,421 | Van | CNG | 60,000 | \$80,993 | \$64,730 | 79.9\% | 1.97 | \$32,908 | \$0 | \$64,730 |
| 13 | Dream Team Deliveris, Inc. | 7 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1996 | 329,216 | Van | CNG | 60,000 | \$80,993 | \$64,730 | 79.9\% | 1.97 | \$32,908 | \$0 | \$64,730 |
| 14 | Campbell Kings, Inc. | 2 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1999 | 367,735 | Delivery Van | CNG | 50,000 | \$84,230 | \$63,172 | 75.0\% | 1.92 | \$32,980 | \$71 | \$63,172 |
| 15 | Dallas ISD | 7 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 98,054 | Bobtail Box Truck | Diesel | 40,859 | \$68,962 | \$55,170 | 80.0\% | 1.57 | \$35,508 | \$2,529 | \$55,170 |
| 16 | Dallas ISD | 1 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 81,949 | Bobtail Box Truck | Diesel | 34,024 | \$68,962 | \$55,170 | 80.0\% | 1.30 | \$42,645 | \$7,137 | \$55,170 |
| 17 | Morgan Express Deliveries, Inc. | 2 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1999 | 480,585 | Delivery Van | CNG | 50,000 | \$80,993 | \$60,745 | 75.0\% | 1.42 | \$42,733 | \$88 | \$60,745 |
| 18 | MJS Holdings, Inc. | 2 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2001 | 313,598 | Bobtail Straight Truck, Refrigerated | Diesel | 30,000 | \$80,904 | \$64,723 | 80.0\% | 1.44 | \$44,869 | \$2,136 | \$64,723 |
| 19 | Dallas ISD | 9 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2002 | 60,872 | Dump Truck | Diesel | 23,412 | \$72,106 | \$57,685 | 80.0\% | 1.11 | \$52,134 | \$7,265 | \$57,685 |
| 20 | Sestha Package Delivery, Inc. | 2 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1997 | 122,847 | Delivery Van | CNG | 20,000 | \$48,527 | \$36,395 | 75.0\% | 0.63 | \$58,046 | \$5,913 | \$36,395 |
| 21 | MJS Holdings, Inc. | 1 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2006 | 614,820 | Bobtail Straight Truck w/Sleeper | Diesel | 60,000 | \$97,627 | \$78,102 | 80.0\% | 1.32 | \$59,348 | \$1,302 | \$78,102 |
| 22 | Dream Team Deliveries, Inc. | 10 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2007 | 242,429 | Van | CNG | 60,000 | \$80,993 | \$64,730 | 79.9\% | 0.99 | \$65,384 | \$6,036 | \$64,730 |
| 23 | Dream Team Deliveries, Inc. | 11 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2007 | 219,477 | Van | CNG | 60,000 | \$80,993 | \$64,730 | 79.9\% | 0.99 | \$65,384 | \$0 | \$64,730 |
| 24 | City of Dallas | 5 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1997 | 156,247 | Dump Truck | Diesel | 10,000 | \$97,523 | \$50,000 | 51.3\% | 0.76 | \$66,138 | \$753 | \$50,000 |
| 25 | Dream Team Deliveries, Inc. | 6 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1992 | 159,284 | Van | CNG | 25,000 | \$48,320 | \$38,656 | 80.0\% | 0.56 | \$69,358 | \$3,220 | \$38,656 |
| 26 | Dream Team Deliveries, Inc. | 8 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2004 | 212,923 | Van | CNG | 60,000 | \$80,993 | \$64,730 | 79.9\% | 0.89 | \$72,649 | \$3,291 | \$64,730 |
| 27 | Dream Team Deliveries, Inc. | 9 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2005 | 325,714 | Van | CNG | 60,000 | \$80,993 | \$64,730 | 79.9\% | 0.89 | \$72,649 | \$0 | \$64,730 |
| 28 | GTS Express, Inc. | 4 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1997 | 462,444 | Step Van | CNG | 19,000 | \$74,973 | \$56,230 | 75.0\% | 0.69 | \$81,257 | \$8,608 | \$56,230 |
| 29 | Denton ISD | 1 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 214,863 | Type C School Bus | Propane | 10,000 | \$99,332 | \$48,500 | 48.8\% | 0.58 | \$82,977 | \$1,720 | \$48,500 |
| 30 | Denton ISD | 2 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 186,117 | Type C School Bus | Propane | 10,000 | \$99,332 | \$48,500 | 48.8\% | 0.58 | \$82,977 | \$0 | \$48,500 |
| 31 | Denton ISD | 3 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 181,844 | Type C School Bus | Propane | 10,000 | \$99,332 | \$48,500 | 48.8\% | 0.58 | \$82,977 | \$0 | \$48,500 |
| 32 | Denton ISD | 4 | Heav-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 177,491 | Type C School Bus | Propane | 10,000 | \$99,332 | \$48,500 | 48.8\% | 0.58 | \$82,977 | \$0 | \$48,500 |
| 33 | Denton ISD | 5 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 178,929 | Type C School Bus | Propane | 10,000 | \$99,332 | \$48,500 | 48.8\% | 0.58 | \$82,977 | \$0 | \$48,500 |
| 34 | Denton ISD | 6 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 182,980 | Type C School Bus | Propane | 10,000 | \$99,332 | \$48,500 | 48.8\% | 0.58 | \$82,977 | \$0 | \$48,500 |
| 35 | Denton ISD | 7 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 185,798 | Type C School Bus | Propane | 10,000 | \$107,332 | \$48,500 | 45.2\% | 0.58 | \$82,977 | \$0 | \$48,500 |
| 36 | Denton ISD | 11 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 166,247 | Type C School Bus | Propane | 10,000 | \$99,332 | \$48,500 | 48.8\% | 0.58 | \$82,977 | \$0 | \$48,500 |
| 37 | Denton ISD | 12 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 142,968 | Type C School Bus | Propane | 10,000 | \$99,332 | \$48,500 | 48.8\% | 0.58 | \$82,977 | \$0 | \$48,500 |
| 38 | Denton ISD | 13 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 163,522 | Type C School Bus | Propane | 10,000 | \$99,332 | \$48,500 | 48.8\% | 0.58 | \$82,977 | \$0 | \$48,500 |
| 39 | Denton ISD | 14 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 156,475 | Type C School Bus | Propane | 10,000 | \$99,332 | \$48,500 | 488\% | 0.58 | \$82,977 | \$0 | \$48,500 |
| 40 | Campbell Kings, Inc. | 5 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2008 | 430,739 | Delivery Van | CNG | 50,000 | \$84,230 | \$63,172 | 75.0\% | 0.75 | \$84,006 | \$1,029 | \$63,172 |
| 41 | Denton ISD | 8 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 187,193 | Type C School Bus | Propane | 10,000 | \$107,332 | \$52,500 | 48.9\% | 0.58 | \$89,820 | \$5,815 | \$52,500 |
| 42 | Denton ISD | 9 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 155,034 | Type C School Bus | Propane | 10,000 | \$107,332 | \$52,500 | 48.9\% | 0.58 | \$89,820 | \$0 | \$52,500 |
| 43 | Denton ISD | 10 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 167,110 | Type C School Bus | Propane | 10,000 | \$107,332 | \$52,500 | 48.9\% | 0.58 | - $\$ 89,820$ | \$0 | \$52,500 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,635,154 | \$2,401,654 |  | 52.65 |  |  | \$2,401,654 |
| Cost Per Ton of Vehicle Activities Recommended for Award |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$45,611 |  |  |

Detail of Clean Fleets North Texas 2015 Call For Projects Funding Recommendation

|  | Applicant | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Activity } \\ \text { Number } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Vehicle Type | Activity Type | Old Vehicle Engine Year | Old Vehicle Mileage | Vehicle Description | New Vehicle Fuel | Annual Mileage Commitment | Incremental Project Cost | Grant Amount Requested | Grant Share Requested | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}} \text { (tons) } \\ \text { Reduced } \end{gathered}$ | Cost per Ton of $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduced (Over Project Life) | Cost per Ton Difference | Recommended Grant Award |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle | le Activities Recommended for |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Staff Funding Recommendation |  |  |
|  | Denton ISD | 15 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 184,550 | Type C School Bus | Propane | 10,000 | \$107,332 | \$52,500 | 48.9\% | 0.58 | \$89,820 | \$0 | \$52,500 |
| 2 | Denton ISD | 16 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 182,369 | Type C School Bus | Propane | 10,000 | \$107,332 | \$52,500 | 48.9\% | 0.58 | \$89,820 | \$0 | \$52,500 |
| 3 | GTS Express, Inc. | 3 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1996 | 549,700 | Step Van | CNG | 17,000 | \$74,973 | \$56,230 | 75.0\% | 0.62 | \$90,840 | \$1,019 | \$56,230 |
|  | GTS Express, Inc. | 8 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1997 | 461,235 | Step Van | CNG | 17,000 | \$74,973 | \$56,230 | 75.0\% | 0.62 | \$90,840 | O | \$56,230 |
|  | T\& TR Transport, Inc. | 5 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1996 | 435,278 | Delivery Truck | CNG | 17,000 | \$74,973 | \$56,230 | 75.0\% | 0.62 | \$90,840 | 50 | \$56,230 |
|  | T\& TR Transport, Inc. | 4 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2002 | 329,735 | Delivery Truck | CNG | 22,000 | \$80,993 | \$60,745 | 75.0\% | 0.63 | \$95,737 | \$4,897 | \$60,745 |
| 7 | GTS Express, Inc. | 7 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1998 | 415,998 | Step Van | CNG | 20,000 | \$74,973 | \$56,230 | 75.0\% | 0.58 | \$97,536 | \$1,800 | \$56,230 |
| 8 | GTS Express, Inc. | 10 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1998 | 495,059 | Step Van | CNG | 20,000 | \$74,973 | \$56,230 | 75.0\% | 0.58 | \$97,536 | \$0 | \$56,230 |
| 9 | GTS Express, Inc. | 11 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1998 | 470,751 | Step Van | CNG | 19,000 | \$80,993 | \$60,745 | 75.0\% | 0.59 | \$103,132 | \$5,596 | \$60,745 |
| 10 | Morgan Express Deliveries, Inc. | 3 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1999 | 388,982 | Delivery Van | CNG | 20,000 | \$80,993 | \$60,745 | 75.0\% | 0.57 | \$106,851 | \$3,719 | \$60,745 |
| 11 | GTS Express, Inc. | 6 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1998 | 410,150 | Step Van | CNG | 18,000 | \$74,973 | \$56,230 | 75.0\% | 0.52 | \$108,343 | \$1,491 | \$56,230 |
| 12 | GTS Express, Inc. | 12 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1998 | 434,880 | Step Van | CNG | 18,000 | \$80,993 | \$60,745 | 75.0\% | 0.56 | \$108,862 | \$519 | \$60,745 |
| 13 | T \& TR Transport, Inc. | 6 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1998 | 478,229 | Transit Van | CNG | 15,000 | \$48,560 | \$36,420 | 75.0\% | 0.32 | \$112,755 | \$3,894 | \$36,420 |
| 14 | Dream Team Deliveries, Inc. | 4 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2007 | 200,788 | Van | CNG | 60,000 | \$84,580 | \$67,664 | 80.0\% | 0.58 | \$116,461 | \$3,706 | \$67,664 |
| 15 | City of I Iving | 8 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2006 | 198,353 | Crew Cab Utility Truck | Diesel | 28,000 | \$49,750 | \$39,800 | 80.0\% | 0.34 | \$116,545 | \$84 | \$39,800 |
| 16 | T \& TR Transport, Inc. | 1 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1999 | 344,527 | Transit Van | CNG | 16,000 | \$74,973 | \$56,230 | 75.0\% | 0.46 | \$121,841 | \$5,297 | \$56,230 |
| 17 | City of I Iving | 4 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 134,547 | Flatbed Dump Truck | Diesel | 9,500 | \$82,113 | \$65,690 | 80.0\% | 0.45 | \$146,793 | \$24,952 | \$65,690 |
| 18 | Sestha Package Delivery, Inc. | 3 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2006 | 156,225 | Delivery Van | CNG | 20,000 | \$48,556 | \$36,417 | 75.0\% | 0.25 | \$147,736 | \$943 | \$36,417 |
| 19 | GTS Express, Inc. | 9 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1995 | 386,694 | Step Van | CNG | 10,000 | \$74,973 | \$56,230 | 75.0\% | 0.36 | \$154,477 | \$6,741 | \$56,230 |
| 20 | City of I Iving | 2 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2002 | 114,506 | Crew Truck | Diesel | 7,500 | \$41,500 | \$33,200 | 80.0\% | 0.21 | \$155,140 | \$663 | \$33,200 |
| 21 | City of Dallas | 1 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2001 | 141,843 | Mobile Mix Concrete Truck | Diesel | 10,000 | \$203,808 | \$100,000 | 49.1\% | 0.60 | \$167,084 | \$11,944 | \$100,000 |
| 22 | City of Dallas | 2 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2001 | 140,774 | Barrel Mix Concrete Truck | Diesel | 10,000 | \$148,223 | \$100,000 | 67.5\% | 0.60 | \$167,084 | \$0 | \$100,000 |
| 23 | City of Dallas | 3 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2001 | 129,945 | Barrel Mix Concrete Truck | Diesel | 10,000 | \$148,223 | \$100,000 | 67.5\% | 0.60 | \$167,084 | \$0 | \$100,000 |
| 24 | City of Dallas | 4 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2001 | 151,184 | Barrel Mix Concrete Truck | Diesel | 10,000 | \$148,223 | \$100,000 | 67.5\% | 0.60 | \$167,084 | \$0 | \$100,000 |
| 25 | Lois West | 1 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2007 | 203,039 | Delivery Van | CNG | 20,000 | \$74,973 | \$56,230 | 75.0\% | 0.33 | \$171,956 | \$4,872 | \$56,230 |
| 26 | GTS Express, Inc. | 5 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 1999 | 409,399 | Step Van | CNG | 11,000 | \$74,973 | \$56,230 | 75.0\% | 0.32 | \$177,381 | \$5,425 | \$56,230 |
| 27 | T \& TR Transport, Inc. | 9 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2005 | 140,309 | Transit Van | CNG | 16,000 | \$48,560 | \$36,420 | 75.0\% | 0.20 | \$184,873 | \$7,492 | \$36,420 |
| 28 | Kyunis Enterprise, Inc. | 1 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2007 | 220,331 | Step Van | CNG | 18,000 | \$74,973 | \$56,230 | 75.0\% | 0.30 | \$189,326 | \$4,453 | \$56,230 |
| 29 | T \& TR Transport, Inc. | 3 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2005 | 297,625 | Transit Van | CNG | 15,000 | \$48,560 | \$36,420 | 75.0\% | 0.19 | \$196,865 | \$7,539 | \$36,420 |
| 30 | M \& K Express, Inc. | 1 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2004 | 250,000 | Transit Van | CNG | 12,500 | \$48,560 | \$36,420 | 75.0\% | 0.18 | \$206,346 | \$9,481 | \$36,420 |
| 31 | T \& TR Transport, Inc. | 8 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2006 | 341,237 | Delivery Truck | CNG | 14,000 | \$84,580 | \$63,435 | 75.0\% | 0.31 | \$206,628 | \$283 | \$63,435 |
| 32 | GTS Express, Inc. | 1 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2004 | 228,920 | Step Van | CNG | 14,000 | \$48,560 | \$36,420 | 75.0\% | 0.17 | \$211,130 | \$4,502 | \$36,420 |
| 33 | T \& TR Transport, Inc. | 2 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2007 | 157,213 | Transit Van | CNG | 16,000 | \$74,973 | \$56,230 | 75.0\% | 0.26 | \$212,992 | \$1,861 | \$56,230 |
| 34 | City of Irving | 7 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 150,484 | Crew Cab Utility Truck | Diesel | 8,000 | \$49,750 | \$39,800 | 80.0\% | 0.17 | \$233,431 | \$20,440 | \$39,800 |
| 35 | Campbell Kings, Inc. | 4 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2006 | 47,876 | Delivery Van | CNG | 15,000 | \$84,230 | \$63,172 | 75.0\% | 0.26 | \$242,504 | \$9,073 | \$63,172 |
| 36 | GTS Express, Inc. | 2 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2004 | 284,454 | Step Van | CNG | 12,000 | \$48,560 | \$36,420 | 75.0\% | 0.15 | \$246,081 | \$3,577 | \$36,420 |
| 37 | Tiger Express, Inc. | 1 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2001 | 384,100 | Delivery Truck | CNG | 7,500 | \$100,194 | \$75,146 | 75.0\% | 0.29 | \$261,376 | \$15,295 | \$75,146 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,003,402 | \$2,125,584 |  | 15.53 | \$136,865 |  | \$2,125,584 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle | le Activities Not Recommended | ward |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No Fundin | Recommendat |  |
| 1 | City of Irving | 3 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 107,590 | Flatbed Dump Truck | Diesel | 4,500 | \$82,113 | \$65,690 | 80.0\% | 0.21 | \$309,858 | \$48,483 |  |
| 2 | City of Sachse | 3 | Light-Duty | Replacement | 2000 | 143,521 | Pickup Truck | Gasoline | 8,500 | \$19,188 | \$15,350 | 80.0\% | 0.04 | \$348,574 | \$38,715 |  |
| 3 | City of Sachse | 4 | Light-Duty | Replacement | 2001 | 118,855 | Pickup Truck | Gasoline | 9,000 | \$19,188 | \$15,350 | 80.0\% | 0.04 | \$359,833 | \$11,259 |  |
| 4 | Sestha Package Delivery, Inc. | 5 | Light-Duty | Replacement | 2000 | 311,943 | Delivery Van | CNG | 20,000 | \$48,560 | \$36,420 | 75.0\% | 0.10 | \$369,412 | \$9,580 |  |
| 5 | T \& TR Transport, Inc. | 7 | Light-Duty | Replacement | 2000 | 324,178 | Transit Van | CNG | 13,000 | \$48,560 | \$36,420 | 75.0\% | 0.09 | \$383,805 | \$14,393 |  |
| 6 | City of Euless | 1 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 76,655 | Pickup Truck | B-10 Diesel | 2,500 | \$30,000 | \$24,000 | 80.0\% | 0.06 | \$390,244 | \$6,439 |  |
|  | City of Euless | 5 | Light-Duty | Replacement | 2003 | 74,157 | Pickup Truck | Gasoline | 2,500 | \$27,000 | \$21,600 | 80.0\% | 0.05 | \$400,778 | \$10,534 |  |
|  | City of Irving | 5 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2001 | 91,119 | Dump Truck | Diesel | 6,500 | \$194,500 | \$155,600 | 80.0\% | 0.39 | \$404,156 | \$3,378 |  |
|  | City of I Irving | 1 | Heavy-Duty | Replacement | 2004 | 129,260 | Litter Control Truck | Diesel | 16,000 | \$137,500 | \$110,000 | 80.0\% | 0.26 | \$420,650 | \$16,494 |  |

Detail of Clean Fleets North Texas 2015 Call For Projects Funding Recommendation


Detail of Clean Fleets North Texas 2015 Call For Projects Funding Recommendation

|  | Applicant | Activity Number | Vehicle Type | Activity Type | Old Vehicle Engine Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Old Vehicle } \\ \text { Mileage } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Vehicle Description | New Vehicle Fuel | Annual Mileage Commitment | Incremental Project Cost | Grant Amount | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Grant Share } \\ \text { Requested } \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ (tons) Reduced | Cost per Ton of $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduced (Over Project Life) | Cost per Ton Difference | Recommended Grant Award |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \|City of Euless | 19 | Light-Duty | Replacement | 2004 | 42,817 | Sedan | Gasoline | 4,500 | \$21,500 | \$17,200 | 80.0\% |  | No $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ reduction |  |  |
|  | Dallas County Schools | 1 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 10 | Dallas County Schools | 2 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 11 | Dallas County Schools | 3 | Heav-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 12 | Dallas County Schools | 4 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 13 | Dallas County Schools | 5 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | - \$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 14 | Dallas County Schools | 6 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 15 | Dallas County Schools | 7 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 16 | Dallas County Schools | 8 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\times}$Reduction |  |  |
| 17 | Dallas County Schools | 9 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\text {NO }} \mathrm{ox}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 18 | Dallas County Schools | 10 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\text {NO }} \mathrm{x}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 19 | Dallas County Schools | 11 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 20 | Dallas County Schools | 12 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 21 | Dallas County Schools | 13 | Heav-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\mathrm{NO}} \mathrm{O}_{x}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 22 | Dallas County Schools | 14 | Heav-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${\mathrm{No} \mathrm{NO}_{x} \text { Reduction }}^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| 23 | Dallas County Schools | 15 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\mathrm{NO}_{x} \text { Reduction }}$ |  |  |
| 24 | Dallas County Schools | 16 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No} \mathrm{NO}_{\text {N }}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 25 | Dallas County Schools | 17 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 26 | Dallas County Schools | 18 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 27 | Dallas County Schools | 19 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {No } \mathrm{NO}_{x} \text { Reduction }}$ |  |  |
| 28 | Dallas County Schools | 20 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\times}$Reduction |  |  |
| 29 | Dallas County Schools | 21 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | - \$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\text {NO }} \mathrm{x}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 30 | Dallas County Schools | 22 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | - \$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {No }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 31 | Dallas County Schools | 23 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}} \text { Reduction }}$ |  |  |
| 32 | Dallas County Schools | 24 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 33 | Dallas County Schools | 25 | Heav-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\mathrm{NO}_{x} \text { Reduction }}$ |  |  |
| 34 | Dallas County Schools | 26 | Heav-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {No }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 35 | Dallas County Schools | 27 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}} \text { Reduction }}$ |  |  |
| 36 | Dallas County Schools | 28 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}} \text { Reduction }}$ |  |  |
| 37 | Dallas County Schools | 29 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}} \text { Reduction }}$ |  |  |
| 38 | Dallas County Schools | 30 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | - \$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\mathrm{Na}} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 39 | Dallas County Schools | 31 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\text {No }} \mathrm{Na}_{\times}$Reduction |  |  |
| 40 | Dallas County Schools | 32 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\text {NO}} \mathrm{NO}_{x}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 41 | Dallas County Schools | 33 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\text {No }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 42 | Dallas County Schools | 34 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\text {N }} \mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 43 | Dallas County Schools | 35 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\text {NO }} \mathrm{NO}_{x}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 44 | Dallas County Schools | 36 | Heav-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Vegative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\mathrm{NO}_{x} \text { Reduction }}$ |  |  |
| 45 | Dallas County Schools | 37 | Heav-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }}$ NO $\mathrm{N}_{x}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 46 | Dallas County Schools | 38 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }}$ N $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 47 | Dallas County Schools | 39 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }}$ o $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 48 | Dallas County Schools | 40 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {No }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 49 | Dallas County Schools | 41 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 50 | Dallas County Schools | 42 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 51 | Dallas County Schools | 43 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 52 | Dallas County Schools | 44 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 53 | Dallas County Schools | 45 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 54 | Dallas County Schools | 46 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | - \$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 55 | Dallas County Schools | 47 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |

Detail of Clean Fleets North Texas 2015 Call For Projects Funding Recommendation

|  | Applicant | Activity Number | Vehicle Type | Activity Type | Old Vehicle Engine Year | Old Vehicle Mileage | Vehicle Description | New Vehicle Fuel | Annual Mileage Commitment | Incremental Project Cost | Grant Amount Requested | Grant Share | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ (tons) Reduced | Cost per Ton of $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduced (Over Project Life) | Cost per Ton Difference | Recommended Grant Award |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56 | Dallas County Schools | 48 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {No } \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}} \text { Reduction }}$ |  |  |
| 57 | Dallas County Schools | 49 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 58 | Dallas County Schools | 50 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 59 | Fashion Glass \& Mirror | 1 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | Medium-Duty Truck | Propane | 30,000 | - $\$ 9,810$ | \$7,848 | -80.0\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 60 | Fashion Glass \& Mirror | 2 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | Medium-Duty Truck | Propane | 30,000 | - $\$ 9,810$ | \$7,848 | -80.0\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}} \text { Reduction }}$ |  |  |
| 61 | Fashion Glass \& Mirror | 3 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | Medium-Duty Truck | Propane | 30,000 | - 59,810 | \$7,848 | -80.0\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 62 | Fashion Glass \& Mirror | 4 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | Medium-Duty Truck | Propane | 30,000 | - 9,810 | \$7,848 | -80.0\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\text {NO }} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 63 | Fashion Glass \& Mirror | 5 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | Medium-Duty Truck | Propane | 30,000 | - $\$ 9,810$ | \$7,848 | -80.0\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 64 | Fashion Glass \& Mirror | 6 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | Medium-Duty Truck | Propane | 30,000 | - $\$ 9,810$ | \$7,848 | -80.0\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 65 | Fashion Glass \& Mirror | 7 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | Medium-Duty Truck | Propane | 30,000 | - $\$ 9,810$ | \$7,848 | -80.0\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 66 | Fashion Glass \& Mirror | 8 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | Medium-Duty Truck | Propane | 30,000 | - 9,810 | \$7,848 | -80.0\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 67 | Fashion Glass \& Mirror | 9 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | Medium-Duty Truck | Propane | 30,000 | - $\$ 9,810$ | \$7,848 | -80.0\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 68 | Fashion Glass \& Mirror | 10 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | Medium-Duty Truck | Propane | 30,000 | - $\$ 9,810$ | \$7,848 | -80.0\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 69 | Fashion Glass \& Mirror | 11 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | Medium-Duty Truck | Propane | 30,000 | - $\$ 9,810$ | \$7,848 | -80.0\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 70 | Fashion Glass \& Mirror | 12 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | Medium-Duty Truck | Propane | 30,000 | - $\$ 9,810$ | \$7,848 | -80.0\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 71 | Fashion Glass \& Mirror | 13 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | Medium-Duty Truck | Propane | 30,000 | - $\$ 9,810$ | \$7,848 | -80.0\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {No }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 72 | Fashion Glass \& Mirror | 14 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | Medium-Duty Truck | Propane | 30,000 | - $\$ 9,810$ | \$7,848 | -80.0\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {No }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 73 | Fashion Glass \& Mirror | 15 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | Medium-Duty Truck | Propane | 30,000 | - $\$ 9,810$ | \$7,848 | -80.0\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\text {NO }} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 74 | Fashion Glass \& Mirror | 16 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | Medium-Duty Truck | Propane | 30,000 | - $\$ 9,810$ | \$7,848 | -80.0\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {No }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 75 | Fashion Glass \& Mirror | 17 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | Medium-Duty Truck | Propane | 30,000 | -\$9,810 | \$7,848 | -80.0\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 76 | Fashion Glass \& Mirror | 18 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | Medium-Duty Truck | Propane | 30,000 | -\$9,810 | \$7,848 | -80.0\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }}$ - $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 77 | Fashion Glass \& Mirror | 19 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | Medium-Duty Truck | Propane | 30,000 | - $\$ 9,810$ | \$7,848 | -80.0\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 78 | Fashion Glass \& Mirror | 20 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | Medium-Duty Truck | Propane | 30,000 | -\$9,810 | \$7,848 | -80.0\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 79 | Prosper ISD | 1 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; No $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 80 | Prosper ISD | 2 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 81 | Prosper ISD | 3 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 82 | Prosper ISD | 4 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 83 | Prosper ISD | 5 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 84 | Prosper ISD | 6 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 85 | Prosper ISD | 7 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}} \text { Reduction }}$ |  |  |
| 86 | Prosper ISD | 8 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }}$ N $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 87 | Prosper ISD | 9 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\text {NO }} \mathrm{O}_{x}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 88 | Prosper ISD | 10 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {No } \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}} \text { Reduction }}$ |  |  |
| 89 | Prosper ISD | 11 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\text {NO }} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 90 | Prosper ISD | 12 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\text {NO }} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 91 | Prosper ISD | 13 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\text {NO }} \mathrm{NO}_{\times}$Reduction |  |  |
| 92 | Prosper ISD | 14 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }}$ o $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 93 | Prosper ISD | 15 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; No $^{\mathrm{NO}_{x} \text { Reduction }}$ |  |  |
| 94 | Prosper ISD | 16 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; $\mathrm{No}^{\text {N }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 95 | Prosper ISD | 17 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; No $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 96 | Prosper ISD | 18 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }}$ o $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 97 | Prosper ISD | 19 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | -\$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }}$ o $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |
| 98 | Prosper ISD | 20 | Heavy-Duty | New Purchase |  |  | School Bus | Propane | 35,000 | - \$11,815 | \$7,848 | -66.4\% |  | Negative Incremental Cost; ${ }^{\text {o }} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Reduction |  |  |

